



Impact of high-density grazing compared to conventional grazing on the woody vegetation of the Kalahari Savannah of South Africa

Malan, PJ¹; Madikizela, W²; Paulse-Ross, JW³

¹University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, South Africa. E-mail: malanpj@ufs.ac.za

²University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, South Africa. E-mail: 2016136414@ufs4life.ac.za

³University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein, 9300, South Africa. E-mail: PaulseJW@ufs.ac.za

Key words: bush encroachment, stocking rate

Abstract

Kalahari Savannah rangeland of Southern Africa is known for well-balanced herbaceous and woody layers. Historic use of conventional grazing, with long grazing periods and insufficient rest periods, caused bush encroachment. High density grazing might prevent bush encroachment. Two grazing approaches were compared to quantify their impact on tree density. The conventional grazing (CG) approach consisted of four camps. Cattle grazed each camp for 14 days, at a grazing pressure of 0.5 large stock units (LSU) per hectare (ha), followed by 42 days of rest. This equates to a stocking rate of 8 ha per LSU. For the high-density (HD) approach, camps were grazed one to two days, at 27.5 LSU/ha, with rest periods of 120 days. Which equates to a stocking rate of 4 ha per LSU. Surveys were done along a 100m x 2.5m belt transect (four replicates, three distances from watering point), using the Biomass Estimates from Canopy Volume model (BECVOL). This method measures woody species richness, total tree density (plants ha⁻¹), total dry matter production estimates (kg DM ha⁻¹) and Total Evapotranspiration Tree Equivalents (ETTE ha⁻¹). Tree density was lower at HD (780 plants ha⁻¹) compared to CG (1 077 plants ha⁻¹), ($P > 0.05$). Evapotranspiration tree equivalents was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower at HD (1 605 ETTE ha⁻¹) than at CG (2 295 ETTE ha⁻¹). Total woody biomass production was almost similar for CG (2 730 kg DM ha⁻¹) and HD (2 790 kg DM ha⁻¹). Seedling density was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher at CG (1 303 plants ha⁻¹) than at HD (684 plants ha⁻¹). High-density grazing generally had a far less negative impact on tree density than conventional grazing. It can therefore be concluded that a HD grazing approach could contribute positively towards ecosystem health in the Kalahari Savannah of South Africa.

Introduction

Pristine Kalahari Savanah rangeland of Southern Africa is known for well-balanced herbaceous (grass) and woody (tree and shrub) layers (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). Historic application of continuous grazing and later conventional rotational grazing systems, with long grazing periods and insufficient rest periods, has caused bush encroachment (Smit 2004, Ward 2005, Bond & Midgley 2012, Belayneh and Tessema 2017). High density grazing, which entails higher densities of grazing livestock for shorter periods, followed by longer resting periods, proved to enhance general ecosystem health (Chaplot et al. 2016; Malan 2022; Malan and Paulse 2023). This method of grazing forms part of regenerative grazing which has the benefit, amongst others, of preventing bush encroachment (Teague and Barnes 2017; Franke and Kotze 2022, Gebremedhn et al 2023). The objective of this study was to compare the tree densities of two adjacent farms, one under conventional grazing and the other under high density grazing.

Methods

The study area is situated within the Kalahari bushveld bioregion (SVk 1, Mafikeng bushveld), (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006), with a long-term grazing capacity of 8 ha LSU⁻¹ (hectare per Large Stock Unit) as indicated in the Long term Grazing Capacity Provincial Maps (2018). This region is characterized by a well-developed grass layer consisting of species such as *Stipagrotis uniplumis*, *Eragrostis lehmanniana*, *Schmidtia pappophoroides* and *Schmidtia kalahariensis* (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006; Sandhage-Hofmann et al. 2015). The dominating trees and shrubs are: *Dichrostachys cinerea*, *Grewia flava*, *Grewia retinervis*, *Tarchonanthus camphoratus*, *Vachellia erioloba*, *Vachellia hebeclada*, and *Ziziphus mucronata* (Mucina and Rutherford, 2006). The aim was to compare the vegetation dynamics of two adjacent, commercial cattle farms, who were grazed at different grazing approaches over a 20-year period. Two grazing approaches were compared to quantify their impact on the density of woody vegetation. The conventional grazing (CG) approach (normal stocking rate of 8 ha/LSU per year) consisted of four camps, 62 ha each. Cattle (Brahman cross breeds, mean cow weight 550kg, weaning rate 95% at 260kg) grazed each camp for 14 days, at a low stocking density of 0.5 large stock units (LSU) per hectare (ha), followed by 42 days rest. For the high-density (HD) approach (double the stocking rate at 4 ha/LSU per year) camps (10 ha each) were grazed (with Bovelder cross breed cattle, mean cow weight 470kg, weaning rate 98% at 230kg) one to two days at a high stocking density of 27.5 LSU/ha, with rest periods of 120 days.

Surveys were done along a 100m x 2.5m belt transect (four replicates at each of three distances from watering point), using the Biomass Estimates from Canopy Volume model (BECVOL) (Smit 1996; Smit 2014). This method measures woody species richness, total tree density (plants ha⁻¹), total dry matter production estimates (kg DM ha⁻¹) and Total Evapotranspiration Tree Equivalents (ETTE ha⁻¹). The ETTE is defined by Smit (2014) as the leaf volume equivalent of a 1.5m single-stemmed tree. The SPSS statistics for windows package was used for statistical analyses (IBM, 2017)

Results

Results are summarized in Table 1. Total woody biomass production was almost similar for CG (2 730 kg DM ha⁻¹) and HD (2 790 kg DM ha⁻¹), while the other characteristics had big differences. The reason for similar biomass is due to the presence of more big trees in relation to small trees on the HD farm. This phenomenon usually correlates with a healthier savanna ecosystem (Bond and Midgley 2012; Smit 2022). Tree density was lower at HD (780 plants ha⁻¹) compared to CG (1 077 plants ha⁻¹), ($P > 0.05$). Evapotranspiration tree equivalents was significantly ($P < 0.05$) lower at HD (1 605 ETTE ha⁻¹) than at CG (2 295 ETTE ha⁻¹). The higher number of ETTE's on the CG farm is an indication of more, but smaller trees, an indication of a bush encroached area (Belayneh and Tessema 2017; Smit 2022). Similar results were found with seedling density, which was significantly ($P < 0.05$) higher at CG (1 303 plants ha⁻¹) than

at HD (684 plants ha⁻¹). The majority of the seedlings present on the CG farm was that of unwanted species like *Dichrostachys cinerea* and *Gymnosporia buxifolia*.

Table 1: Mean tree density, ETTE, total biomass production and seedling density of woody plants for two grazing approaches. (mean ± SE).

Woody layer	CG	HD
Tree density (Plants ha ⁻¹)	1 077 ± 42	780 ± 46
ETTE (ETTE ha ⁻¹)	2 295 ± 59	1 605 ± 55*
Total biomass (kg DM ha ⁻¹)	2 730 ± 74	2 790 ± 99
Seedling density (Plants ha ⁻¹)	1 303 ± 19	684 ± 15*

*P < 0.05. Total Evapotranspiration Tree Equivalents (ETTE), Grazing approaches [conventional grazing (CG), high-density grazing (HDRa)].

Although this paper focusses on the woody layer, it is worth mentioning that CG had a lower veld condition score of 412 compared to 581 of HD, while the percentage of perennial grasses was also higher (64%) at HD than at CG (43%).

Discussion

Bush encroachment due to wrong grazing practices is a huge problem in the savanna areas of the world (Kgosikoma and Mogotsi 2023; Di Virgilio et al. 2019, Gebremedhn et al. 2023). Such grazing practices includes continuous grazing and approaches with long grazing periods and improper resting periods. High density grazing leads to a high grazing impact for a short period, followed by an extended rest (recovery) period (Chaplot et al. 2016, Franke and Kotzè 2022). This grazing approach is believed to hold many benefits by improving soil health, vegetation health and animal health (Hawkins et al. 2017; Malan 2022). In this study, high-density grazing generally had a far less negative impact on the density of woody vegetation than lower density (conventional) grazing. It can therefore be concluded that a HD grazing approach could contribute positively towards ecosystem health in the Kalahari Savannah of South Africa.

References

- Belayneh A, Tessema ZK (2017). Mechanisms of bush encroachment and its inter-connection with rangeland degradation in semi-arid African ecosystems: a review. *Journal of Arid Land* 9: 299-312.
- Bond WJ, Midgley JJ (2012). Fire and the angiosperm revolutions. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, 173(6): 569-583.
- Chaplot V, Dlamini P, Chivenge P (2016) Potential of grassland rehabilitation through high density-short duration grazing to sequester atmospheric carbon. *Geoderma* 271: 10-17.
- Di Virgilio A, Lambertucci SA, Morales JM (2019) Sustainable grazing management in rangelands: Over a century searching for a silver bullet. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 283 106561 pp1-11.
- Franke L, Kotzè E (2022) High-density grazing in South Africa: Inspiration by nature leads to conservation? *Outlook Agric.* 51(1): 67-74.
- Gebremedhn HH, Ndiaye O, Mensah S, Fassinou C, Taugourdeau S, Tagesson T, Salgado P (2023) Grazing effects on vegetation dynamics in the savannah ecosystems of the Sahel. *Ecological Processes*. 12:54 pp 1-12.
- Hawkins HJ, Short A, Kirkman K (2017) Does holistic planned grazing™ work on native rangelands? *African Journal of Range and Forage Science*. 34(2): 59-63.
- IBM Corp. (2017) IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Armonk, NY: IBM Corp. Available at: <https://hadoop.apache.org>.
- Kgosikoma OE, Mogotsi K (2013) Understanding the causes of bush encroachment in Africa: The key to effective management of savanna grasslands. *Tropical Grasslands* 1 (2): 215 – 219.

- Malan PJ (2022) What is regenerative grazing? *Proceedings of the 57th congress of the Grasland Society of Southern Africa*. Session 9: Unlocking regenerative grazing. p. 54.
- Malan PJ, Paulse JW (2023) Comparison of degradation gradients of a conventional vs a high-pressure grazing system. *Proceedings of the XXV International Grassland Congress*, 14 – 19 May 2023, Covington, Kentucky, USA. Pp 712 – 715.
- Mucina L, Rutherford MC (2006) The vegetation of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland. *South African National Biodiversity Institute*, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Sandhage-Hofmann A, Kotzé, E, van Delden L, Dominiak M, Fouchè HJ, van der Wsthuizen HC, Oomen RJ, du Preez CC, Amelung W (2015) Rangeland management effects on soil properties in the savanna biome, South Africa: A case study along grazing gradient in communal and commercial farms. *Journal of Arid Environments*. 120: 14-25.
- Smit GN (1996) BECVOL: Biomass Estimates from Canopy VOLUME (version 2) - users guide. Unpublished Manual. University of the Free State, Bloemfontein.
- Smit GN (2004) An approach to tree thinning to structure southern African savannas for long-term restoration from bush encroachment. *Journal of environmental management*, 71(2), pp.179-191.
- Smit GN (2014) BECVOL 3: an expansion of the aboveground biomass quantification model for trees and shrubs to include the wood component. *African Journal of Range & Forage Science*, 31(2): 179-186.
- Smit GN (2022) A re-assessment of the extent of bush thickening on several farms owned by the Sishen South (Kolomela) mine, Postmasburg. Technical Report
- Teague R, Barnes M (2017) Grazing management that regenerates ecosystem function and grazing land livelihoods. *African Journal of Range and Forage Science*., 34: 77-86.
- Ward D (2005) Do we understand the causes of bush encroachment in African savannas? *African Journal of Range and Forage Science*, 22(2): 101-105.