PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY BIENNIAL CONFERENCE Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

Copyright and Photocopying

© The Australian Rangeland Society. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, rangelands.exec@gmail.com

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form;

Author family name, initials (year). Title. *In*: Proceedings of the *n*th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Anderson, L., van Klinken, R. D., and Shepherd, D. (2008). Aerially surveying Mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) in the Pilbara. *In*: 'A Climate of Change in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference'. (Ed. D. Orr) 4 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products advertised.

) The Australian Kangeland Society

Ecosystem Management Understanding (EMU) TM: building landscape literacy to rehydrate the rangelands of South Australia

Participants and staff of the EMUTM Projects in South Australia (Janet Walton¹, Hugh Pringle² and Col Stanton³)

1: Department of Environment, Water and Natural Resources, South Australia.

Email janet.walton@sa.gov.au

- 2.Director of EMUTM. Email hpringle1@bigpond.com
- 3. Natural Resources, Environment, The Arts and Sport. Email Colin. Stanton@nt.gov.au

Key Words: Trust, Empathy, Attitude

Abstract:

Bringing about positive change and the wise use of rangelands is more about enabling landholders to enhance their knowledge and build their skills rather than bringing modern science to "teach". Pastoralists across the South Australian Arid Lands (SAAL) Natural Resources Management (NRM) Region, have embraced the Ecosystem Management Understanding (EMU)TM approach because it values and blends their local knowledge with scientific expertise in a conducive environment. Through participating in the EMUTM Projects, land managers have shifted their focus from trying to resurrect dysfunctional landscapes typified by exacerbated landscape droughting to key problems jeopardising their most productive and healthy country. This is a very different approach to that conventionally used in the Decade of Landcare and promoted by administration bodies at the time. Here we present a succinct and general review of EMUTM in South Australia from 2009 to today.

What is EMUTM?

The Ecosystem Management Understanding (EMUTM) is a voluntary, confidential, unique and holistic land management approach for land managers. It builds on the solid foundation of local knowledge of country and combines this vital link with scientific expertise to build landscape literacy through nurturing landholder skills to read landscape processes, condition and trend.

The primary purpose of EMUTM is to introduce pastoralists to sustainable ecological management of landscape and habitats.

History of EMUTM

The EMU ™ approach was initially developed by landscape ecologists Drs Ken Tinley and Hugh Pringle to facilitate ecologically sustainable rangeland management. Much of it is built on the ethno-ecological work of Dr Ken Tinley in southern Africa where he used local Indigenous Ecological Knowledge to help him build conservation management strategies and plans for iconic National Parks across southern Africa (Tinley and Pringle, 2002).

This process has been adapted by pastoralists in the Gascoyne Murchison catchments (WA), southern Northern Territory and more recently in the Marla-Oodnadatta, Kingoonya, Gawler Ranges and North Flinders NRM districts in South Australia.

During 2009 members of the Marla-Oodnadatta Natural Resources Management District Group were first informed of the EMUTM approach through the pilot project, the "Grass with Class" information day and later by guest speaker Ben Forsyth, an EMUTM project participant from Western Australia.

The first EMUTM pilot project was conducted during 2009, on Todmorden, Evelyn Downs and Bon Bon Stations. The interest and innovations generated by this pilot project led to the development of a complementary pilot project in other NRM districts during 2010. Whilst expanding into other NRM regions is technically not desirable for encouraging catchment scale management, it was seen to be necessary to rationalize the viability of EMUTM across the SAAL NRM region.

The EMUTM approach

The EMUTM approach is based on demonstrable key building steps and allows for a comprehensive understanding of what the landscape can offer (and what it cannot). This appreciation complements current (usually grazing) enterprises, but also recognises other opportunities and particular values of participants. The scope of EMUTM is determined by the participants (Walton & Pringle 2010).

EMUTM in the rangelands of South Australia.

Funding secured through the South Australian Biodiversity Fund and Trans-Australia Eco-Link will enable the EMU TM project to be extended to an additional two properties in the Marla Oodnadatta NRM district. This brings the total number of properties participating in EMUTM to 17, amongst them pastoral stations, mixed pastoral and tourism enterprises as well as conservation reserves, and Aboriginal-managed lands. These 17 properties cover an area of more than 4.9 million hectares and include the following bioregions: Finke, Stony Plains, Simpson Strzelecki, Flinders Lofty Block, and Gawler.

Conservation values enhanced indirectly through EMUTM include habitat repair for Nationally Threatened species like the Thick billed Grasswren(*Amytornis textilis modestus*), Slender billed Thornbill (*Acanthiza iredalei iredalei*) Plains rat (*Pseudomys australis*), Mallee fowl (*Leipoa ocellata*), Bronze-back Legless Lizard (*Ophidiocephalus taeniatus*), Yellow-footed Rock wallaby (*Petrogale xanthopus xanthopus*), Sea Heath (*Frankenia plicata*), and the Slender Bell fruit (*Condonocarpus pyramidalis*).

Nationally threatened ecological communities occurring in the South Australian EMUTM project footprint include Mulga (*Acacia aneura*) low woodland on sand plains, Bullock Bush (*Alectryon oleifolius*) tall shrub land, Coolibah (*Eucalyptus coolabah ssp arida*) & River River Red Gum (*Eucalyptus camaldulensis*) woodland on regularly inundated floodplains, Old-man Saltbush (*Atriplex nummalaria*) on floodplains, Queensland Bluebush (*Chenopodium auricomum*) shrubland on cracking clay depressions subject to periodic waterlogging, GAB mound springs, Northern Myall (*Acacia calcicola*) woodland on breakaways, and *Triodia spp*. grassland on high ranges.

The number of participating properties (Figure 1) varies from the actual number of funded properties, as coverage of all properties did not require funding for various reasons.

The reduced staff capacity over last 18 months has resulted in the last two stages of the EMUTM process (Figure 1) not progressing as well as intended. However with the Department for Environment, Water and Natural Resources (DEWNR) recently committing to incorporating EMUTM into core business and to increasing the skills and knowledge of staff we hope to expand EMUTM across another 13 properties in the next 3 years.

The 13 properties which have completed on-ground works have all been seed-funded. However, they have completed more than one on-ground project without government assistance. Basic photo point and line transect monitoring has been established at all the funded project sites. The only property which has not committed to on-ground works has not been through lack of enthusiasm, rather an unfortunate run of events combined with a higher than average manager turnover.

On-ground works across participating properties to date include: the fencing and baseline flora and fauna survey of a significant swamp ecojunction; the fencing of a significant drainage confluence to slow and spread overland flow; the establishment of innovative heavy swing fencing across rivers and creeks; catchment-based weed control; best practice track maintenance; soil conservation works planned and sequenced to flip most productive systems to water harvesting as opposed to dehydration. A particular added bonus to the soil conservation works is that local earthmoving contractors as well as landholders are becoming adept at soil conservation works within a wider, ecosystem understanding.

An unexpected knowledge gap identified through EMUTM, has been the widespread lack of basic understanding of landscape function across technical staff. Consequently, Dr. Hugh Pringle conducted a five day landscape literacy training course for DEWNR staff. This course was well received with several participants suggesting their colleagues would like to attend the next course. Planning is well underway for the next Landscape literacy course.

Conclusion

Fundamental to the success of EMUTM across the South Australian Arid Lands NRM Region is the level of trust, confidentiality and support from EMUTM staff combined with an attitude receptive to change. The EMUTM approach is a totally holistic approach to sustainable land management which is nurturing land mangers to read landscape processes, condition and trend. Participation is voluntary; all information is confidential and remains the property of the pastoralist.

Participants have often changed their priorities with this new ecosystem perspective and have been enthusiastic to make major changes. In all cases, participants have expressed a view that they see their land differently through their involvement in the EMUTM Projects and that they would thoroughly recommend EMUTM.

Figure 1. EMUTM achievements to date

| EMU TM funded | Pilot projects | State funded | Southern Past. | Trans |
|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| projects | EMUTM | EMU TM | EMUTM | Australia Eco |
| | CF o C | 2010 -2012 | C F o C | Link 2011 |
| | 2009 – 2010 | | 2009 - 2012 | -2013 |
| No. of | 3 | 5 (8) | 3 | 3 |
| properties | | | | |
| 1: Initial | 3 | 5 (8) | 3 | 1 |
| meeting | | | | |
| 2: Overlay | 3 | 5 (8) | 3 | 1 |
| mapping | | | | |
| exercise | | | | |
| 3: Aerial survey | 3 | 5 (8) | 3 | |
| of key issues | | | | |
| and areas | | | | |
| mapped | | | | |
| 4: Review flight | 3 | 5 (8) | 3 | |
| and plan | | | | |
| ground | | | | |
| inspections | | | | |
| 5: Major | 2 | 5 (8) | 3 | |
| review: | | | | |
| Reassess and | | | | |
| identify (map) | | | | |
| management | | | | |
| priority areas | | | | |
| 6: Build | 2 | 5 (8) | 3 | |
| priority project | | | | |
| proposals | | | | |
| 7: Implement | 2 | 5 (8) | 3 | |
| project(s) | | | | |
| 8: Install | 1 | | 1.5 | |
| property | {plus 1 installed | | | |
| monitoring | own monitoring | | | |
| system | system} | | | |
| 9: REVIEW, | 1 | | 3 | |
| REVISE and | | | | |
| REFINE | number of neutice | | | |

⁽⁾ denotes actual number of participating properties

Acknowledgements

Financial support received through the Australian Government Caring for Our Country Program, the Department for Environment, Water and Natural Resources Nature Links, Trans Australian Eco Link SA, and the Centralian Land Management Association.

•

References

Tinley, K.L., Pringle, H.J.R., 2002. What is the EMU Exercise? , Shifting Camp: Proceedings of the 12th Biennial Australian Rangeland Society Conference, Kalgoorlie, Western Australia, pp. 349-350.

Walton,J., Pringle, H.J.R.,2010.The Ecosystem Management Understanding (EMU™) pilot project:buildinglandscape literacy using local knowledge to improve rangeland health in the Neales River Catchment of South Australia **in** Proceedings of the 16th Biennial Conference of the Australian Rangelands Society. Australian Rangelands Society,Bourke.