### PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY BIENNIAL CONFERENCE Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

### **Copyright and Photocopying**

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2014. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, rangelands.exec@gmail.com

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

#### Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form;

Author family name, initials (year). Title. *In*: Proceedings of the nth Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Anderson, L., van Klinken, R. D., and Shepherd, D. (2008). Aerially surveying Mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) in the Pilbara. *In*: 'A Climate of Change in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 15<sup>th</sup> Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference'. (Ed. D. Orr) 4 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

#### Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products advertised.

The Australian Rangeland Society

### STOCKTAKE – A PADDOCK-SCALE, GRAZING LAND MONITORING AND MANAGEMENT PACKAGE

J.L. Aisthorpe<sup>1</sup>, C.J. Paton<sup>2</sup> and P.K. Timmers<sup>3</sup>

# <sup>1</sup> DPI&F, LMB 6, Emerald Qld 4720; <sup>2</sup> DPI&F, PO Box 118, Gayndah Qld 4625; <sup>3</sup> NRM&E, 80 Meier's Road, Indooroopilly Qld 4068

## **INTRODUCTION**

Traditional natural resource monitoring systems have failed to establish clear links between field measurements and on-ground management actions required to maintain the resource. *Stocktake* is a paddock-scale land condition monitoring and management package that has been developed to provide grazing land managers with a practical, systematic way to assess land condition and long-term carrying capacity, and to calculate seasonal paddock forage budgets.

Using indicators of paddock condition, together with grass growth predictions for local land types by GRASP (Littleboy and McKeon 1997), Stocktake allows managers to quantify the effect that suboptimal land condition is having on their long-term paddock carrying capacity. The forage budgeting technique has been included as a second component of the system. It provides a dynamic tool for land managers to adjust stock numbers based on seasonal forage supply.

### A FRESH APPROACH

Prior to the development of this package, the most commonly recognised grazier pasture monitoring system in Queensland was GRASS Check (Forge 1996). Land managers using GRASS Check developed a high level awareness and knowledge of the pasture species present in their paddocks. However, few were able to apply such raw data in their strategic or tactical decision making.

A review of resource monitoring by Brown *et al* (1996) stated that, at the enterprise level, monitoring should be done for the purpose of improving short- and long-term productivity via a process of adaptive management. Thus, such systems should not only alert graziers about changes in the composition or condition of particular resources, but also be able to extrapolate that into a business productivity context. For this to happen, three key areas needed to be addressed: (a) scale of assessment; (b) resource monitoring in terms of ecosystem health and long-term productivity; and (c) data management and meaningful interpretation of results. Each of these components was addressed in Stocktake.

### Scale of assessment

Grazing land managers are required to make stocking and management decisions on a paddock-bypaddock basis. Many existing resource monitoring systems are point, or transect based, and focus on collecting data about specific aspects of the grazing system. In extensive grazing systems, where paddocks often have a heterogeneous mix of landforms, soils, vegetation and infrastructure, a pointscale monitoring system alone is inadequate for broad scale assessment of land condition. Stocktake allows monitoring and assessment of a paddock at a land type scale.

### Resource monitoring in terms of ecosystem health and long-term productivity

The ABCD land condition-scoring framework, introduced in the Grazing Land Management Workshop (Chilcott *et al.* 2003), provides a standard means of assessing and rating grazing land condition. This framework scores land condition based on an assessment of key indicators of current soil, pasture and woodland condition. "A" land condition is when the ecosystem is in the best condition and ecosystem processes, including cycling of nutrients, cycling of water and energy flow, are most efficient. "D" land condition is when it is poorest and requires remediation.

By using an ecosystem approach, the system acknowledges the importance that all components have on grazing productivity, for example, poor soil surface condition or woodland thickening. In a pastureonly monitoring system such imbalances would go unnoticed. A simple field assessment system for the ABCD scoring framework has been developed for Stocktake. Outputs allow an assessment of the potential for productivity and resource improvement. Forage budgets provide a means for then tactically adjusting stock numbers based on seasonal conditions.

#### Data management and meaningful interpretation of the results

A key downfall with many monitoring 'systems' has been the lack of meaningful interpretation and reflection about the results by grazing land managers. McGill (1995) highlighted that thinking through reflection is the essential link between past action and more effective future action.

The Stocktake package includes a comprehensive database which chronologically stores, collates, reports on and interprets the field data in terms of short and long-term carrying capacity. Information that can be generated by the database includes:

- Land condition of land types within a paddock ("A" to "D"),
- Paddock carrying capacity in current ("A" to "D") and in optimum ("A") land condition,
- Number of days the current forage in your paddock will last with current stock numbers, and
- Number of adult equivalents that can be carried in a paddock for a particular period whilst maintaining a desired dry matter residual.

### CONCLUSIONS

The Stocktake package takes grazing land monitoring to a new level by not only collating data about key paddock resource indicators, but also managing and interpreting the information in a way that most grazing land managers can relate to and use in their business planning. By practically reinforcing key technical concepts from Grazing Land Management Workshops, Stocktake provides a catalyst for bridging the gap between paddock resource assessments and grazing land and stock management decision-making.

### REFERENCES

Brown, J. et al. (1996). Monitoring for resource management In 'Monitoring Grazing Lands in Northern Australia' (Eds J. Tothill and I. Partridge). Tropical Grasslands Society of Australia Occasional Publication 9: 57-66.

Chilcott, C.R. et al. (2003). Grazing Land Management Workshop Notes – Burnett. Meat and Livestock Australia Limited, Sydney.

Forge, K. (1996). GRASS Check – Grazier Rangeland Assessment for Self-Sustainability. Information Series Q194005. Queensland Department of Primary Industries, Brisbane.

McGill, I. and Beaty, E. (1995). What is Action Learning and How does it Work? Action Learning – A Practitioner's Guide, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed. Kogan Page, London, pp21-39.

Littleboy, M. and McKeon, G. (1997). Subroutine GRASP: Grass production model. Appendix 2 of 'Evaluating the risks of pasture and land degradation in native pasture in Queensland'. Final Project Report for RIRDC project DAQ124A. Queensland Department of Natural Resources, Brisbane.