PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY BIENNIAL CONFERENCE Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

Copyright and Photocopying

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2014. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, rangelands.exec@gmail.com

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form;

Author family name, initials (year). Title. *In*: Proceedings of the nth Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Anderson, L., van Klinken, R. D., and Shepherd, D. (2008). Aerially surveying Mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) in the Pilbara. *In*: 'A Climate of Change in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference'. (Ed. D. Orr) 4 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products advertised.

The Australian Rangeland Society

MODELLING Parkinsonia aculeata INVASIONS AT THE CATCHMENT SCALE

R.A. Lawes^{1,2} and L.V. Whiteman¹

¹ CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, Davies Laboratory, Townsville, Qld 4814 ² CRC for Australian Weed Management

ABSTRACT

To effectively manage weeds, information on the individual species' distribution and habitat is required. Both habitat and distribution can be described at a range of scales. In this study the objective was to describe and understand the distribution of *Parkinsonia aculeata* within the Cape River catchment of North Queensland. Generally, *P. aculeata* occupies flood prone and riparian areas. An aerial survey for *P. aculeata* was conducted to ascertain the weed's distribution in the Cape River catchment. More *P. aculeata* was found in the downstream portion of the catchment. Following this survey, habitat characteristics were derived from Landsat imagery and soil surveys using a geographic information system. The distribution characteristics of the weed were also derived using neighbour methods. A classification and regression tree was employed to determine which habitat and spread variables influenced the distribution of *P. aculeata* within the Cape River catchment. Generally, the presence of *P. aculeata* was influenced by spread characteristics, soil type and the proximity of the weed to the riparian zone. The model may be used to facilitate catchment scale management of *P. aculeata* and this is discussed.

INTRODCTION

Parkinsonia aculeata is an invasive woody weed that, under favourable conditions, can grow 7 m high. It is found in the rangeland areas of the Northern Territory, NSW, Queensland, South Australia and Western Australia. *P. aculeata* generally invades riparian zones and flood prone areas and is principally spread by water.

To successfully manage *P. aculeata* its spatial distribution must be considered at the catchment scale and knowledge of the weed's distribution throughout a catchment is useful. In this study, catchment scale information distribution of *P. aculeata* was combined with habitat and spatial information to build a predictive model of *P. aculeata* invasion for the Cape River catchment of North Queensland. The model outputs can help devise a rule based catchment scale management strategy for *P. aculeata*.

METHODOLOGY

The principal rivers and associated tributaries of the Cape River were aerially surveyed and the presence of *P. aculeata* recorded with a GPS. This process is described in detail elsewhere (Lawes *et al.* 2003). The Cape catchment had previously been surveyed and data were available for soil type and geology. These data were transferred to a 500 m grid and allied with the *P. aculeata* survey data.

For each *P. aculeata*, six spatially explicit variables were calculated. These included the distance to its nearest neighbour upstream, downstream and in any direction. The number of instances when *P. aculeata* was present upstream, downstream and in any direction at 1 km, 5 km and 10 km were also calculated. Other variables included the distance from the river and distance from the mouth of the Cape River. A regression and classification tree was employed to describe the distribution of *P. aculeata* in the catchment in relation to habitat and spatial variables.

RESULTS

Parkinsonia aculeata has invaded a relatively small portion of the catchment and was present at only 708 of the 7947 grid positions surveyed. The error rate of the model was small, at 6.04% and was achieved because the model correctly predicted *P. aculeata* absence. There were only 177 false

positives. *P. aculeata* presence was harder to predict, with only 462 of the 708 *P. aculeata* locations correctly predicted. There were 11 nodes to the regression and classification tree and six possible pathways through the tree (Figure 1). Of these, one indicated that *P. aculeata* would be present on 71% of occasions and another that it would be present on 43% of occasions. The remainder resulted in either low (<16%) or very low (<1%) chances of *P. aculeata* presence in the Cape River catchment. No pathway predicted *P. aculeata* absence with 100% accuracy.

P. aculeata was present at a given location (71% accuracy) if all the following criteria were met:

- There were at least 3.5 instances where *P. aculeata* was present within 1 km.
- The given location was situated on either a clay to loam soil, or close to the river.
- There were at least 19.5 instances where *P. aculeata* was present within 5 km.

Alternatively, P. aculeata was present at a given location (43% accuracy) if:

- There were less than 3.5 instances where P. aculeata was present within 1 km.
- There was still *P. aculeata* present within 600 m.
- The given location was less than 250 m from the river bank.



Localised weed intensity (1km)

Figure 1. A regression and classification tree that describes the distribution of *Parkinsonia aculeata* in the Cape River Catchment of North Queensland.

CONCLUSION

A regression and classification tree has been used to evaluate the distribution of *P. aculeata* in the Cape River catchment of North Queensland. This methodology enabled habitat and spatial characteristics to be combined. It suggests the invasion process is localised, but if left unmanaged *P. aculeata* would eventually occupy most of the riparian zone.

REFERENCES

Lawes, R.A., Whiteman, L.V. and Grice, A.C. (2003). Mapping the distribution of *Parkinsonia aculeata* in the Cape River catchment in north Queensland, Australia. *Plant Protection Quarterly* 18: 152-156.