PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY BIENNIAL CONFERENCE

Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

Copyright and Photocopying

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2012. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, rangelands.exec@gmail.com

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form; Author family name, initials (year). Title. *In*: Proceedings of the nth Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Anderson, L., van Klinken, R. D., and Shepherd, D. (2008). Aerially surveying Mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) in the Pilbara. *In*: 'A Climate of Change in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference'. (Ed. D. Orr) 4 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products advertised.



The Australian Rangeland Society

SILVER-LEAVED IRONBARK (EUCALYPTUS MELANOPHLOIA) RECRUITMENT IS AFFECTED BY MANAGEMENT IN CENTRAL QUEENSLAND

P. Jones and A. Sullivan

Queensland Beef Industry Institute, DPI, LMB 6 EMERALD Q 4720

ABSTRACT

The Aristida/Bothriochloa (A/B) native pasture community of Central Queensland is a large, predominantly cattle producing region with small areas of degradation (Weston *et al.* 1981). The area is deficient in production and ecological knowledge, and studies are now assisting to develop sustainable resource management practices. This paper reports on the tree and shrub monitoring of a project aiming to gain an understanding of the regions ecology and communicate pasture management knowledge to land users. The main findings are:

- regular burning had no affect on survival of silver-leaved ironbark seedlings
- burning can prevent lignotuber regeneration in cleared areas
- timing of burning is important for seedling control
- burning has little effect on the size or density of existing woody plants

METHODS

The treatments were imposed in autumn 1994 and recorded in spring of 1995, 1997 and 1999. Clearing of the mature, open woodland was achieved with Hexazinone (Velpar) stem injection. A 120 hectare grazing trial includes low, medium and high grazing pressure paddocks under treed and cleared treatments replicated twice. An ungrazed, interspersed burning trial has replicated one hectare areas that were either burnt regularly or not burnt; under treed and cleared treatments. The burns were done in the spring of 1997, 1998 and 1999 with a headfire, after recordings had been made. The cleared and treed not burnt treatments were also burnt in spring 1998 to remove a large amount of dead grass accumulation. Tree and shrub species, size, density and stem basal area are monitored in permanent transects (Back *et al.* 1997) in the two trials. Summer rainfalls well above average in 1996/97 and 1998/99, and well below average in 1995/96 and 1997/98 were received.

RESULTS

Silver-leaved ironbark, gum-topped bloodwood (*Corymbia erythrophloia*) and prickly pine (*Bursaria incana*) are the main woody species with silver-leaved ironbark comprising over 80% of both basal area and density. The large decrease in tree basal area and density caused by chemical clearing has been maintained for five years. Total basal area and density were stable from 1995 to 1999 in all treatments (see Table I and Table II). Grazing pressure had no affect on the size, density or recruitment of woody plants.

Density declined markedly in the cleared treatments in 1997 because many silver-leaved ironbarks appeared to be dead and were not recorded. However, the lignotubers were still alive and resprouted in 1999 in the cleared plots that were either grazed or not burnt treatments. Burning suppressed lignotuber regeneration in the cleared treatments, however seedling recruitment occurred in the treed treatments and was not affected by burning (see Table III). Burning had no affect on either the size or density of existing woody plants, or mortality rates from 1997 to 1999 in treed or cleared plots.

_Year	Treed		Cleared	
	Density Plants/ha	Basal area m2/ha	Density Plants/ha	Basal area m2/ha
1995	2085	7.667	656	1.127
1997	1997	6.134	286	1.208
1999	1901	6.102	557	1.317

Table 1. Total woody plant density and basal area in the grazing trial.

Table 2. Total woody plant density in the burning trial.

	Treed		Cleared	
Year	Burnt Plants/ha	<i>Not burnt</i> Plants/ha	Burnt Plants/ha	Not burnt Plants/ha
1995	2264	1549	477	690
1997	1901	1433	389	432
<u> 1999 </u>	<u> </u>	1500	367	842

Table 3. Recruitment of silver-leaved ironbark in the burning trial.

Year	Treed		Cleared			
	Burnt Plants/ha	Not burnt Plants/ha	Burnt Plants/ha	Not burnt Plants/ha		
1997	331		$\frac{1}{8^2}$			
1999	63 ¹	42^{1}	8^2	38 ²		
		63 ¹		71 ²		
recruitment f	rom seedlings)		(2	(1 – – recruitment from		

recruitment from seedlings) lignotubers)

DISCUSSION

The main management implication is that burning is difficult to manage for seedling control and that recruitment by lignotubers is encouraged by tree clearing. Silver-leaved ironbark seedling recruitment in the treed plots probably occurred in the 1996/97 summer prior to the first burn, and again in the 1998/99 summer. The 1997 and 1998 burns did not kill the seedlings which emerged in the 1996/97 summer. In the cleared plots, recruitment is from lignotubers and the lack of seedling regeneration is probably due to a limiting seedbank. The seed source had been removed; the seedbank is short lived; the seed has poor transport mechanisms and external sources are limited by infrequent flowering and seeding. This preliminary study indicates that even a burn within 12 months of a germination will not control the seedlings. Silver-leaved ironbark will only be controlled by fire as a seedling and opportunities for burning can prevent tree and shrub populations increasing.

This study shows that the timeframe for burning for seedling control is small and may necessitate autumn or winter burning. While autumn or winter burning is desirable for safety of operators, it then leaves the area prone to weed invasion and subject to soil erosion with the first summer storms. Burning is accepted as having a role in preventing tree and shrub populations thickening. In this study while burning in cleared treatments prevented lignotuber regeneration; it did not affect seedlings and burning had no effect on size or density of existing woody plants in cleared or treed areas.

REFERENCES

Back, P.V., Anderson, E.R., Burrows, W.H., Kennedy, M.J.J. and Carter, J.O. (1997). TRAPS - Transect recording and processing system. (Manual and software package) (*QDPI: Rockhampton*).

Weston, E.J., Harbison, J., Leslie, J.K., Rosenthal, K.M. and Mayer, R.J. (1981). Assessment of the agricultural potential of Queensland. *Technical Report No 27, Agriculture Branch, QDPI, Brisbane.*