

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE AUSTRALIAN RANGELAND SOCIETY
BIENNIAL CONFERENCE**

Official publication of The Australian Rangeland Society

Copyright and Photocopying

© The Australian Rangeland Society 2012. All rights reserved.

For non-personal use, no part of this item may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without prior permission of the Australian Rangeland Society and of the author (or the organisation they work or have worked for). Permission of the Australian Rangeland Society for photocopying of articles for non-personal use may be obtained from the Secretary who can be contacted at the email address, rangelands.exec@gmail.com

For personal use, temporary copies necessary to browse this site on screen may be made and a single copy of an article may be downloaded or printed for research or personal use, but no changes are to be made to any of the material. This copyright notice is not to be removed from the front of the article.

All efforts have been made by the Australian Rangeland Society to contact the authors. If you believe your copyright has been breached please notify us immediately and we will remove the offending material from our website.

Form of Reference

The reference for this article should be in this general form;
Author family name, initials (year). Title. *In*: Proceedings of the nth Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference. Pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

For example:

Anderson, L., van Klinken, R. D., and Shepherd, D. (2008). Aerially surveying Mesquite (*Prosopis* spp.) in the Pilbara. *In*: 'A Climate of Change in the Rangelands. Proceedings of the 15th Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conference'. (Ed. D. Orr) 4 pages. (Australian Rangeland Society: Australia).

Disclaimer

The Australian Rangeland Society and Editors cannot be held responsible for errors or any consequences arising from the use of information obtained in this article or in the Proceedings of the Australian Rangeland Society Biennial Conferences. The views and opinions expressed do not necessarily reflect those of the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors, neither does the publication of advertisements constitute any endorsement by the Australian Rangeland Society and Editors of the products advertised.



The Australian Rangeland Society

**WATERPONDING AND FORAGE PRODUCTION FOR COST-EFFECTIVE
SCALD RECLAMATION (VICTORIA RIVER DISTRICT, NT)**

S. A. Sullivan

Conservation Commission of the Northern Territory,
Victoria River Research Station (Kidman Springs), via Katherine, N.T.

ABSTRACT

Construction costs of waterponding banks for scald reclamation in Victoria River District (VRD) can be prohibitive. Cost recovery of construction with a station-owned grader, through increased production, may take 6 years.

In the short term, construction costs may be recovered by establishing forage sorghum, permanent pasture grasses, or legumes for hay, seed production or grazing.

INTRODUCTION

In the VRD, over 300 square kilometres of scalded country have been identified (Condon 1986).

In 1989, a non-saline sodic scalded area was ponded using waterponding technology as developed in NSW. Re-establishment of vegetation was largely dependent on self-sown native pasture seed.

With a view to accelerating cover increase and organic matter production, numerous improved pasture species were tested.

In 1990, after consideration of ponding bank construction costs, improved pasture species testing was extended to gauge the potential for cost recovery.

METHODS

Construction

A 130G Cat. grader was used to construct 43 ponds over 17ha, according to construction methods described by Rhodes (1987).

Borrow areas were ripped to enable sufficient soil to be won for banks. Banks were constructed to design height and width in 5 or 6 passes. Of the pond areas, 33 were ripped. Ten were not ripped for comparative purposes.

Improved pasture species testing

Improved pasture species were selected for tolerance to waterlogging, known suitability to area, ability to withstand a long dry season or organic matter production.

In 1989, 18 improved pasture species were planted, such as *Sorghum* spp. hybrid cv Silk, buffel grasses (*Cenchrus ciliaris* cvs), Sabi grass (*Urochloa mosambicensis*), Koronivia grass (*Brachiaria humidicola*) and legumes, *Stylosanthes* spp and *Centrosema* spp.

In 1990, waterponding was extended to more scalded areas on Kidman Springs and Auvergne Station. Whole pond areas were planted to the best performing pasture species of 1989 trials.

RESULTS

Costs of construction

If only fuel costs are considered @ 70c/L, the cost of construction using a station grader would have been \$24/ha for bank construction and \$6/ha for pond ripping.

Cost of construction with a hired grader @ \$80/hour would have been \$158/ha for bank construction and \$38/ha for ripping.

Pasture establishment

Native annual pasture establishment was substantial after the first wet season. The proportion of perennial species increased over subsequent years.

Ripping pond areas was beneficial to native pasture establishment and was required to assist cultivation for improved pasture planting.

The most vigorous, high yielding and persistent improved pasture species to establish in 1989/90 included buffel grass (all cultivars), Sabi grass and Silk sorghum. These species, along with several others were planted to larger waterponded areas in late 1990.

High yielding stands (not quantified) of several species were established, achieving good coverage of scalds. The most consistent and highest yielding species were forage *Sorghum* spp.

DISCUSSION

Construction costs of waterponding in the VRD, particularly in the context of an extensive grazing system, are very high.

In a simplistic economic analysis, the gross margin of running steers in the VRD is approximately \$5.80/ha/year (Sullivan 1991). With the construction of ponding banks using a station-owned grader costing up to \$30/ha, cost recovery through additional production (on native pastures) would take at least 6 years. The cost of construction at commercial grader hire rates is prohibitive, unless the value of production on the ponded area can be greatly enhanced.

Most stations in the VRD require good quality hay for stockfeed. Many stations import hay at considerable cost (\$3/square bale plus freight). Several stations have hay-making equipment and cut native pastures or attempt to grow forage crops on unreliable and often inadequate rainfall.

Waterponding on scalds for forage production is attractive because: no land clearing is required, water conservation aspects of ponding guard against dry spells, and organic matter production will assist scald reclamation.

The gross margin of growing sorghum for hay in the Katherine Region NT is approximately \$175/ha (Sullivan 1991). Stations with access to hay-making equipment could therefore recoup construction costs very quickly.

Other options include establishing self-replacing or perennial pasture grasses and/or legumes for hay, forage or seed production.

While more research into planting methods is required, improved pasture species on ponded scalds enable rapid reclamation and have the potential to make a valuable contribution to the good quality forage requirements of a pastoral enterprise.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To NSCP for funding in part of research into techniques for land rehabilitation in the VRD, to Darryl Clift, Darryl Hill, Frank Marshall and other CCNT staff for assistance with waterponding projects, and to Ray Thompson of NSW SCS for initial surveying and continued advice on ponding.

REFERENCES

- Condon, R.W. 1986 A Reconnaissance Erosion Survey of part of the Victoria River District, N.T. Hassall and Associates, Canberra.
- Rhodes, D. 1987 Waterponding Banks - Design, Layout and Construction. J. Soil Cons. NSW 43:80-83.
- Sullivan, S.A. 1991 Costs and Benefits of Waterponding for Scald Reclamation. Landcare Fact No. 14, Conservation Commission of the Northern Territory.